

Update on Hearing of Helper Case on 09.04.2016

There is an effort to spread confusion over hearing of Helper Case in Hon'ble High Court Delhi over ARTEE Presidents message .

This was Presidents message on whatsapp

हैल्पर ACP केस की दिल्ली उच्च न्यायालय मे सुनवाई

आज केस की तारीख थी किन्तु सरकार की और से कोई वकील उपस्थित नहीं हुआ !

हमारी और से Advocate Dr. अश्विनी भारद्वाज बहस करने को तैयार थे !

अगली तारीख २० जुलाई दी गई है !

हम विजयवाडा के Helpers विशेष कर श्री मुरली मोहन का धन्यवाद करते हैं जिनके योगदान से आज वकील को खडा करना संभव हो सका चूकिं आरती सभी Accounts श्री पारितोष कौशिक ने फ्रीज करवा दिये हैं !

Other Association has countered this information and said Sh. Rajiv Sharma Govt. Advocate was present in the Court. After this some people who behave in a total political manner without bothering the impact and negativity on Members, started spreading this.

It seems such people more sympathy with other Association and action/information given by other Association is more authentic for them.

It is hard to believe what these people want ?

Are they on a mission to promote other Association ?

These days such people are even attending seminar with Members of other Association. What does this indicate ?

#####

Anyway we want to make it clear that information given by other Association is totally false.

Our question to them are :

What is the case no. filed by other Association ?

Infact they had not filed any case. ARTEE took them along with us in filing the OA 3607/2014 for helpers.

Have they paid their part of expenditure in this Case ?

No, ARTEE has paid Total Amount of Rs. 60,000 and Rs. 30,000 of their share is still unpaid.

How they can claim that they have done anything for Helpers ? Who was their Advocate yesterday?

No body. The Gen.Secy. himself tried to argue and was stopped by Hon'ble Justice.

If Sh. Rajiv Sharma was present in the Court on time why he had not argued ?

Actually Sh. Rajiv Sharma came after date of 20/07/2016 was given.

Our Advocate Sh. Ashwani Bhardwaj was there in Court No. 8 and his junior was in Court No. 6 where the our case was listed so that when case come up for hearing he can immediately call Sh. Ashwini Bhardwaj. When Hon'ble Justice asked who is there from Government , There was no body and he gave next date.

If Sh. Rajiv Sharma was present and the Gen.Secy. of other Association was himself ready to argue, then why Justice did not allowed.

Few things are clear :

(1).The other Association has not filed any separate Case and they are Applicant in our case without paying even a single paisa.

(2). They did not fielded any Advocate for the case on 09th March 2016.

(3). Sh. Rajiv Sharma, Govt. Advocate was not present at the time of hearing otherwise we were ready for the argument.

(4). We have fielded Dr. Ashwini Bhardwaj who is having a good reputation.

We think if an Association feels responsible towards welfare should not spread such type of misinformation.

Central Office