The Facts Behind Generalization of Tech vs LA Issue

Ashok Yadav Case extended benefit to 21

G.M.Tyagi Case extended it to 70+

&

ARTEE Case OA 4424/2014, MA 2096/2015 extended to 1845+

Some people are flexing their muscles to take credit of Generalization of Tech vs LA issue. Actually they are right in their approach since they are thirsting for success as there is none in their 20 years long regime. Since in this term two core issues are solved and few more are in pipelines they are scared now.

The reality is that the whole Generalization issue started with DoLA Advise to extend it to all Similarly placed and now Department Generalized it on the basis of this same Advise. The DoLA advise was the outcome of the efforts of ARTEE and only ARTEE. After this DoLA advise Sh. Ashok Yadav, Sh. G.M. Tyagi, Sh. Kuldeep Bhan and many others approached ARTEE President and opposed this work as they wanted that only their case should be cleared by DoLA. They even asked President why ARTEE followed the issue in DoLA.

Now let us talk about Tech vs LA issue. Nobody can hide the very basic fact that ARTEE filed OA 4424/2014 in December 2014 and MA 2096/2015 with Deepak S.,VP(WZ) as main applicants and ARTEE President representing all ARTEE Member Tech and Sr.Tech brothers and started pursuing it .(click here for verdict of OA 4424/2014). G.M.Tyagi and ADTEA Cases were nowhere at that time.

Our case was delayed as we were issued a speaking order that the outcome of Ashok Yadav case will be implemented for us. It is a known fact that Ashok Yadav Case has caused loss of arrears. The DG(AIR) order in this case released on 9th July 2016. Implementation of our Case started only after that.

When Ashok Yadav Case was sent to Department of Legal Affairs, we pursued the matter there and succeeded in including the para that OA 4424/2014 is also similar and same may be extended to applicants of these case. The Advised has also justified to extend it to all Similarly situated. This was the turning point in the path or Generalization and it was done by ARTEE only. G.M.Tyagi and ADTEA Cases were no where.

Click here for DoLA Advise dated 08.09.2015

For understanding the Generalization of Tech vs LA we have to understand present situation.

Current scenario in DG(AIR) is that after the experience of EA(5K) issue, office wants to finish the issue once for all by a single order. Just see EA case when department issued following orders.

- (1). M.S.Rana & Lalit Pawar,
- (2). Vimal Kumar,
- (3). Prashant Maheshwari & Others
- (4). Rakesh Kumar & Others
- (5). ARTEE case, (two corrigendum also.)
- (6). Vivek Aggarwal Case
- (7). ADTEA Case.

So there are clear mindset to finish the issue through one order and it is good. In Tech vs LA and Tech(4K) issue DoEXP gave approval for total Amount Rs. 6,00 Croes for all. So no separate sanction was required to generalize as whole Amount is already approved. ARTEE Never opposed it. So there is no special force behind as some jumping jacks are projecting but we are happy that one issue is finished. People who are now jumping to take credit were nowhere when Department of Legal Affairs (DoLA) advised to Generalize it for all.

So it is just 10 Months from 9th July 2016 (the date on which DG (AIR) issued order in Ashok Yadav case) and we succeeded in getting it implemented for 1845+emplyees as journey of Generalization started with ARTEE OA 4424/2014.

Click here for DG(AIR) Dated 12.05.2017

ARTEE wholeheartedly welcome the Generalization. Now we can concentrate on other issues more freely. We will fight for Arrears also in this Tech vs LA case. So be united to enjoy the fruit of the big tree ARTEE so that we can produce optimum on all issues.

Issues on our next agenda now

25/02/1999 Upgradation Issue,
EA / SEA and Tech/Sr. Tech Mergers etc.
AE 5400 on 4 years (Pending in DoEXP),
AE to ADE Promotions (350 Posts)
SEA to AE Promotions (500+ Posts),
Left out cadres like Diesel, Mast Tech etc,
Cadre Review & Many more

ARTEE ZINDABAD

CENTRAL OFFICE